

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/661,807	09/12/2003	Philip Andrew Deal	11867/19	7382	
· 757	7590 04/07/2005		EXAMINER		
BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE			HARMON, CHRISTOPHER R		
P.O. BOX 10395 CHICAGO, IL 60610			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
cincado, i	.E 00010		3721		
			DATE MAIL ED: 04/07/200	DATE MAIL ED. 04/07/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•				L
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/661,807	DEAL, PHILIP ANDREW	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Christopher R Harmon	3721	
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address	
A SH THE - Exte afte - If th - If No - Fail Any	MORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.7 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. (D) (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>27 J</u>	lanuary 2005.		
2a)□	<u> </u>	s action is non-final.		
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowa		osecution as to the merits is	
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under			
Disposit	tion of Claims			
5)	Claim(s) 1-35 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 11-26 and 33-35 is/a Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/a ction Papers	are withdrawn from consideration. or election requirement.		
•	The specification is objected to by the Examine			
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acception and applicant may not request that any objection to the			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E.	, = : :		
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicat prity documents have been receive tu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	
Attachmer	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	· (PTO-413)	
2) 🔲 Noti	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate	
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date <u>1/27/05</u> .) 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/661,807 Page 2

Art Unit: 3721

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Claims 11-26 and 33-35 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 1/27/05.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 5-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 5 recites the limitation "means for receiving the individual object" in lines 1-

There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/661,807

....,

Art Unit: 3721

5. Claims 1-10 and 27-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Green, Jr. et al. (US 4,862,905) in view of Schubert et al. (US 3,834,285).

Green, Jr. discloses an apparatus and method for inserting objects in a continuous supply of rod filler material 22 by rotating disk member 50; air jet 155 for insertion/assuring ejection; and then subdividing the continuous rod at predetermined intervals. Green, Jr. does not directly disclose a horizontal rotatable pan with a plurality of stems to lift objects, however Schubert et al. teach a rotatable pan with stems 119 lifting objects 11 through holes for joining cigarette filter plugs; see figure 5. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the rotatable pan and stem transfer method and apparatus in the invention to Green, Jr. in order to deliver objects to the vertically rotatable member for subsequent insertion into the filler material.

Regarding claims 10 and 32, Green, Jr. discloses that the cutting assembly severs the rod at intervals synchronized with the insertion of pellets by a suitable manner; see column 9, lines 1-10. The examiner takes Official Notice that visually detecting the objects is such a suitable manner and would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use a visual detection system.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Application/Control Number: 10/661,807

Art Unit: 3721

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher R Harmon whose telephone number is (571) 272-4461. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9-6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rinaldi Rada can be reached on (571) 272-4467. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ch

Rinaldi I. Rada Supervisory Patent Examiner Group 3700